

June 3, 2021

US Postal Service Regulatory Commission
901 New York Avenue NW, Suite 200
Washington, DC 2026-0001

We have a valid concern about the sustainability of the United States Postal Service. Mr. DeJoy has already implemented many actions that have jeopardized the survival of the service. (Directing carriers to leave office on time for delivery, leaving mail behind; directing carriers not to return to office to deliver mail that missed morning departure; removing and destroying high-speed sorting machines; removing drop boxes, curtailing overtime to ensure first class mail delivery, overloaded processing centers with backed up mail; disruption at processing centers requiring mail to be sorted twice, etc.) Mr. DeJoy had no idea what he was hired to do, as it may seem anyway. Since he is regarded as such a successful businessman, one would think that his pitiful decision making was intentional. He would have lost his personal business years ago if he managed it like he has the US Postal Service.

Obviously, 36 USC 101 – Postal Policy was not taken into account by Mr. DeJoy when he developed his new 10-year plan for the US Postal Service. Mr. DeJoy will implement a plan with new policy that is in direct conflict with the statutes of 36 USC 101. This is unlawful.


Was the Postal Regulatory Commission involved with the development of the 10-year plan?
First, Congress needs to remove the prepaid retiree's health benefit requirement from the 2006 Postal Accountability and Enhancement Act. This prepayment should have ended in 2016. Did it? Also, did all the money go to retirees' health benefits? If not, the money needs to be redirected or refunded back to the Postal Service, with current interest and penalties assessed. If this payment is not currently required by Congress, it would not be deemed an oversight, it would be deemed unlawful.

There is no doubt that it is a challenge to manage the US Postal Service. But, this 10-year plan is not feasible. Not only would customers truly suffer the consequences of implementation, again, it is in conflict with 36 USC 101 and the Constitution.

We are demanding as US Citizens and taxpayers that you ensure the US Postal Service is not heading for privatization. It is your responsibility to educate Mr. DeJoy on the law and the constitution. The current and projected management of the US Postal Service reflects that Mr. DeJoy cannot be educated; therefore, he should be removed.

We want a reply on how you plan to address this 10-year plan; the removal of the retirees' prepaid health benefits; and the refund to the US Postal Service on the overpayment of the prepayment.

Sincerely,



CATHY FREY
130 Saddle Lane
Butte, MT 59701



ERNIE FREY
130 Saddle Lane
Butte, MT 59701

**Frey
130 Saddle Lane
Butte, MT 59701**

BILLINGS MT 591

03 JUN 2021 PM 1 L



US Postal Service Regulatory Commission
901 New York Avenue NW, Suite 200
Washington, DC 2026-0001

2026830001